J. Min. Metall. Sect. B-Metall. 57 (3) (2021) 341 - 347

Journal of Mining and Metallurgy, Section B: Metallurgy

## LIQUID-VAPOR EQUILIBRIUM AND EVAPORATION RATE OF Cd-Zn LIQUID ALLOY

W.-C. Zhao <sup>a, b</sup>, B.-Q. Xu <sup>a, b</sup>, H.-W. Yang <sup>a, b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> National Engineering Laboratory for Vacuum Metallurgy, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Metallurgical and Energy Engineering, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, P. R. China

(Received 29 December 2020; Accepted 29 April 2021)

### Abstract

In this study, LVE (liquid-vapor equilibrium) data of cadmium-zinc system were determined at a pressure of 7.5 Pa. We compare the use of the Redlich-Kister polynomials with the Wilson equation in fitting activities. The LVE for Cd-Zn system in vacuum distillation was modeled using the two models. The results of the two models are reliable, the Redlich-Kister polynomials are better than the Wilson equation. The LVE phase diagram is reliable for predicting the process of vacuum distillation for Cd-Zn system. The evaporation rates of the elements in Cd-Zn alloy were experimentally measured and calculated by the Langmuir equation. The experimental data on the evaporation of pure metals Cd and Zn are included. The evaporation coefficients of zinc and cadmium under vacuum conditions were calculated. The deviations were discussed. Comparing the calculations with the experimental results, it can be found that the trend is consistent. The activation energies of Cd and Zn in the Cd-Zn alloy under experimental conditions were also calculated.

Keywords: Cd-Zn alloy; Thermodynamic modeling; Kinetics; Evaporation rate; Vacuum distillation

#### 1. Introduction

As the fourth common metal, zinc plays an irreplaceable role in modern industry. Metal zinc is widely used in galvanized steel sheet, zinc-manganese batteries and so on. The zinc content of crude zinc in fire smelting is about 98.6%, and the rest are impurity elements Pb, Cd, Fe, Sn, etc. [1]. On the basis of previous research, vacuum distillation technology was introduced into the separation and purification process of crude zinc. Vacuum distillation can not only reduce energy consumption and improve efficiency, but also pollution, environmental reduce which is incomparable to traditional smelting process. Xia et al. measured the evaporation rate of pure metal zinc at 80-280 Pa and compared it with the calculated values [2]. Song et al. carried out vacuum distillation experiments on Pb-10% Sb alloy at 10 Pa and plotted the curve of the evaporation rate of the alloys as a function of distillation temperature and time [3]. Yoshihito et al. established a temperature distribution model and analyzed the heating conditions in different regions of the alloy melt. Combined with the activities of Ni-Ti alloy obtained by a regular solution model, the evaporation rates of Ni and Ti elements in the system were calculated [4]. Li et al. studied the

https://doi.org/10.2298/JMMB201229030Z

nebulization process of the impurity elements Zn and Pb in the iron solution [5]. Yang et al. measured niobium evaporation in Nb-based alloys by a "smelting ingot" [6].

In our previous work, we measured and modeled the liquid-vapor equilibria for binary and ternary systems in vacuum distillation. Good results were obtained in predicting the separation conditions under vacuum conditions by LVE diagram [7-9]. The purpose of this study is to investigate the thermodynamics and kinetics of Cd-Zn binary alloy in vacuum distillation. Experiments on both thermodynamics and kinetics were performed. LVE data of Cd-Zn system under vacuum were measured. T-x diagrams for Cd-Zn system were modeled by the Redlich-Kister polynomials and the Wilson equation The Langmuir equation was applied to calculate the evaporation rate of metal elements.

#### 2. Method 2.1. LVE

2.1. LVE

According to Raoult's law, the relationship of liquid-vapor equilibrium for a mixture system can be expressed as [10]:



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: hongweiy@kust.edu.cn

$$x_i \gamma_i (x_i, T) P_i^* (T) = y_i P \tag{1}$$

where  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are the mole fraction of species *i* in the liquid phase and vapor phase, respectively; *P* is the total pressure of system,  $P_i^*$  is equilibrium vapor pressure above pure component *i*;  $\gamma_i$  is activity coefficient of *i* in the liquid alloy.

For elements Zn and Cd,  $P_i^*$  can be calculated by following formula [10, 11]:

$$\lg P_{Zn}^* = -6620 / T - 1.255 \lg T + 12.34 \tag{2}$$

$$\lg P_{Cd}^* = -5819 / T - 1.257 \lg T + 12.287 \tag{3}$$

For a binary alloy system *i*-*j* 

$$x_i + x_j = 1; y_i + y_j = 1$$
 (4)

$$P = P_i^* \gamma_i x_i + P_i^* \gamma_i x_i \tag{5}$$

Then  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are solving by Eqs. (4) and (5):

$$x_i = \frac{P - P_j^* \gamma_j}{P_i^* \gamma_i - P_j^* \gamma_j} \tag{6}$$

$$y_i = \frac{P_i^* \gamma_i x_i}{P} \tag{7}$$

### 2.2. Wilson equation

The Wilson equation [12] was used to calculate activity coefficient  $\gamma_i$  of binary components *i*-*j*:

$$\frac{G^{E}}{RT} = -x_{i}\ln(x_{i} + A_{ij}x_{j}) - x_{j}\ln(x_{j} + A_{ji}x_{i})$$
(8)

The activity coefficients of components *i*-*j* are:

$$\ln \gamma_i = -\ln \left( x_i + x_j A_{ij} \right) + x_j \left( \frac{A_{ij}}{x_i + x_j A_{ij}} - \frac{A_{ji}}{x_j + x_i A_{ji}} \right)$$
(9)

$$\ln \gamma_{j} = -\ln \left( x_{j} + x_{i} A_{ji} \right) - x_{i} \left( \frac{A_{ij}}{x_{i} + x_{j} A_{ji}} - \frac{A_{ji}}{x_{j} + x_{i} A_{ji}} \right) (10)$$
The percentators A and A are expressed by

The parameters  $A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ji}$  are expressed by:

$$A_{ij} = \frac{v_j}{v_i} \exp\left[-\left(\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{ii}\right)/RT\right]$$
(11)

$$A_{ji} = \frac{v_i}{v_j} \exp\left[-\left(\lambda_{ji} - \lambda_{jj}\right)/RT\right]$$
(12)

where  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are the molar volumes of components *i* and *j* which are written as a functions of temperature, the related parameters of Cd and Zn for calculation are given in Table 1.  $\lambda_{ii}$ ,  $\lambda_{jj}$  and  $\lambda_{ij}$  ( $\lambda_{ij}=\lambda_{ji}$ ), which are the interaction energies *i*-*i*, *j*-*j*, and *i*-*j* pairs, respectively. We assume that ( $\lambda_{ji} - \lambda_{ii}$ ) and ( $\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{jj}$ ) in Eqs. (11) and (12) are independent of temperature *T* [13]. The interaction energies between Cd and Zn were given in Table 2. The values of  $A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ij}$  at other

temperature T should be calculated as follows:

$$A_{ji} = \frac{v_j}{v_i} \left( \frac{A_{ji}}{v_j / v_i} \right)^{T}$$
(13)

$$A_{ij} = \frac{v_i}{v_j} \left( \frac{A_{ij}}{v_i / v_j} \right)^{\frac{T}{T}}$$
(14)

 Table 1. Molar volume formulas for related metallic elements [11]
 Control
 Control

Metal	v(cm <sup>3</sup> /mol)	<i>T</i> /K
Cd	14.0[1+1.50×10 <sup>-4</sup> ( <i>T</i> -594)]	594~1040
Zn	9.94[1+1.50×10 <sup>-4</sup> ( <i>T</i> -693)]	693~1181

Table 2. The interaction energies between Cd and Zn

T/K	i-j	$\lambda_{ji} - \lambda_{ii} (\mathbf{J})$	$\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{jj}(\mathbf{J})$
700	Interaction energies	7500.98	2173.26

#### 2.3. Redlich-Kister polynomials

The Redlich-Kister polynomials is usually employed to describe the dependence of excess Gibbs energy on the temperature and composition of binary systems [14]. It can be expressed as follows:

$$\Delta G = x_i x_j \left( {}^{0}L_{i,j} + {}^{1}L_{i,j} \left( x_i - x_j \right) + {}^{2}L_{i,j} \left( x_i - x_j \right)^2 \right) (15)$$

The coefficients  ${}^0\!L_{ij},\,{}^1\!L_{ij},$  and  ${}^2\!L_{ij}$  can be found by a least squares method.

#### 2.4. Theory of evaporation kinetics

The rate of evaporation  $W_i$  is quantitatively calculated by the Langmuir equation [15] which derived from the kinetic theory of ideal gas. Through the equation, we obtain:

$$W_i = 4.376 \times 10^{-4} \cdot \alpha_i x_i \gamma_i P_i^* \sqrt{\frac{M_i}{T}}$$
(16)

where  $\alpha_i$  is evaporation coefficient (usually  $\alpha_i \approx 1$  for pure metals);  $x_i$  is molar mass of *i*;  $P_i^*$  is saturated vapor pressure; *T* is the system temperature;  $M_i$  is molar mass;  $\gamma_i$  is the activity coefficient of *i*.

The evaporation rate constant  $K_i$  can be expressed as:

$$K_{i} = \frac{4.376 \times 10^{-4} \gamma_{i} P_{i}^{*}}{\rho} \sqrt{\frac{M^{2}}{M_{i}T}}$$
(17)

where:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\frac{W_i}{\rho_i} + \frac{W_j}{\rho_j}} \tag{18}$$

$$M = x_i M_i + x_j M_j \tag{19}$$



where  $M_i$  and  $M_j$  are molar mass of components *i* and *j*, respectively.  $w_i$  and  $w_i$  are mass fraction,  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_j$  are density of components *i* and *j* respectively; *M* is average molar mass of molten alloy [6];  $\rho$  is density of alloy.

The evaporation rate constant of alloy in vacuum distillation is usually composed of three parts: (1) The mass transfer of metal atoms in the liquid phase,  $K_m$ ; (2) Metal atoms transfer mass at the interface,  $K_i$ ; (3) The diffusion of metal atoms in the gas phase,  $K_v$ . If the pressure of the system is lower than the vapor pressure of the evaporating element, it can be considered that the gas phase does not affect evaporation. In this work, the evaporation rate constant  $K_i = 1/(\frac{1}{K_m} + \frac{1}{K_i} + \frac{1}{K_v})$  was calculated [16, 17]. Experimental evaporation rate of components in alloy can be expressed [2]:

$$W_i^* = \frac{m_{i0} - m_i}{S \cdot t} \tag{20}$$

Where  $m_{i0}$  and  $m_i$  are the mass of component *i* before and after experiment, respectively; *S* is the surface area of the interface between molten liquid and argon, that is the cross-sectional area of the crucible (*S* =7.07 cm<sup>2</sup>, *Radius*=1.5 cm). *t* is the distillation time, min. In the process of alloy evaporation, the mass fraction of component *i* which evaporates out of the alloy per unit mass in a unit time is  $W_i \cdot S/m$ , according to Eq. (16), we have:

$$\frac{W_i \cdot S}{m} = 4.376 \times 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{S}{m} \alpha_i x_i \gamma_i P_i^* \sqrt{\frac{M_i}{T}}$$
(21)

The concentration change of volatile components in dt time is given by:

$$\frac{W_i \cdot S}{m} = \frac{dx_i}{dt} \tag{22}$$

Combining Eqs. (17) and (22), substitute  $m=\rho v$  into Eq. (21), we have:

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = -\frac{S}{V} K_i x_i(t)^n$$
(23)

Integration of Eq. (23) is expressed as:

$$\ln w_i = -\frac{S}{V} K_i t \tag{24}$$

Where *m* is total mass of the alloy;  $c_i$  is melt concentration. Vacuum distillation of alloy can be considered following first order reaction (*n*=1).

According to the Arrhenius equation [10], the activation energy of the evaporation process can be obtained if the  $K_i$  value is determined:

$$\ln K_i = -\frac{E}{RT} + \ln A \tag{25}$$

Where A is pre-exponential factor, which is independent of temperature.

## 3. Experimental

Samples of Cd-Zn alloy with 60 g each for the experiment were prepared by zinc and cadmium, with a purity higher than 99.99% which were obtained from Hebei RunDe Company. Two kinds of pure metals were put into the alloy melting device which drained into dry argon to clean the air inside. The heater was turned on, and it was waited for the temperature to reach 723 K and the temperature was held for 1 hour. For the better homogenisation the samples were turned over and it was repeated two or three times. Finally, a homogeneous alloy was obtained by quenching. The weight loss of the sample was less than 2%. Different compositions of Cd-Zn alloys were used for LVE investigation and Cd<sub>40</sub>Zn<sub>60</sub> was chosen for the kinetics experiment of alloy evaporation. Table 3 shows the information of experimental samples for Cd-Zn alloy. The schematic diagram of the equipment and the internal structure of the vertical vacuum furnace are shown in Figs.1 and 2, respectively.

Table 3. The weight of pure component used in this work

x <sub>Zn</sub>	$m_{\rm Zn}(g)$	$m_{Cd}(g)$	Total(g)
0.1	3.65	56.35	60
0.2	7.62	52.38	60
0.3	11.97	48.03	60
0.4	16.77	43.23	60
0.5	22.07	37.93	60
0.6	27.96	32.04	60
0.7	34.55	25.45	60
0.8	41.97	18.03	60
0.9	50.39	9.61	60

Standard uncertainties:  $u(m) = \pm 0.01g$ 



Figure 1. Schematic of apparatus used for vacuum distillation equilibrium experiments: 1.cooling water; 2. control system; 3. vacuum pump; 4. vacuum furnace; 5. argon gas; 6.McLeod vacuum gauge



The chamber was evacuated to 1 Pa under Pure argon (99.9mass %) atmosphere. This procedure was repeated three times to ensure that oxygen and nitrogen molecules were kept as low as possible. In the course of experiment, ventilation with pure argon gas to keep the pressure stable. For LVE experiment of Cd-Zn alloy, pressure was controlled at 7.5 Pa with an accuracy of 1 Pa and temperatures were separately at 588, 609, 616, 623, and 630 K ( $\pm$ 1K). For better measurement compositions of residues (liquid phase) and volatiles (vapor phase), we determined a suitable temperature according to different compositions. 60 minutes was chosen as the distillation time in this work which allowed the system approach to the phase equilibrium sufficiently.

For the kinetic experiment of Cd-Zn alloy evaporation, we measured one temperature point every 10 K between 590 and 630 K at 7.5 Pa. To improve the accuracy of the experiment, more than twice distillation tests will be performed at each temperature. For the comparison between the evaporation of components in alloy and pure metal, vacuum distillation experiments of pure metals Cd and Zn were also performed.

### 4. Results and discussion

Using experimental data of Cd-Zn system [18], the Wilson equation parameters  $A_{ji}$ =0.4816 and  $A_{ij}$ =0.3939 for the Cd-Zn system at 700 K were obtained by the least squares method. Table 4 gives the thermodynamic parameters required in Redlich-Kister polynomials. The calculated activity coefficients of components for Cd-Zn system were calculated using Eqs. (9) and (10) which are shown in Table 5 and Fig.3. It can be seen that the calculated results are in good agreement with the experimental values, the Redlich-Kister polynomials is better than the Wilson equation. Experimental LVE data for Cd-Zn system are shown in Table 6. Substituting the



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the vacuum distillation experimental set-up. 1. furnacelid; 2. furnace body; 3. furnace bottom; 4. electrode; 5. cold plate; 6. observation door; 7. heat holding cover; 8.heating unit; 9. graphite evaporator

calculated activity coefficients using the two models into Eqs. (6) and (7), the contents of Zn and Cd in the vapor and liquid phases can be obtained. T - x phase diagram for Cd-Zn system at 7.5 Pa can be drawn which is shown in Fig.4. The agreement of the LVE phase diagram with the experimental data of Cd-Zn system indicates that the calculation method of LVE is reliable for the process of vacuum distillation. There is a slight deviation between the experimental values and the calculations. It may be arising from: (1) the boiling points of zinc and cadmium are different under experimental conditions. Then, temperature fluctuation in the furnace has a great influence on the experimental data; (2) Zinc volatilizes slowly if the temperature is low, then the experiment time needs to be extended to make it volatilize more fully; (3) Although the deviation caused by the operation is minimized during the experiment, the sampling imbalance may still occur in the sampling test.

Substituting calculated  $\gamma_i$  by the Redlich-Kister polynomials into Eq. (16) and combing Eq. (20), the maximum evaporation rates of calculation and experiment for Cd and Zn in Cd-Zn alloy were obtained at 7.5 Pa which is shown in Table 7. Table 8 presents the results on the evaporation of pure metals Cd and Zn. It can be seen from Fig. 5, although there is a gap between the experiment and the calculation of evaporation rate, the  $W_{Zn}/W_{Cd}$  ratios tend are consistent. The reason is perhaps that the evaporation coefficient  $\alpha_i$  of the alloy is not close to 1 when the temperature is low under vacuum condition. For Pure Zn and Cd, it can be seen from Table 8 that the

 
 Table 4. The parameters of Redlich-Kister polynomials in the Cd-Zn binary system

$^{0}L$	$^{1}L$	$^{2}L$
8142.42	-193.78	913.43

 
 Table 5. Comparison of the predicted activities of the Wilson equation and Redlich-Kister polynomials with experimental data [18] of Cd-Zn alloy at 700 K

x <sub>Zn</sub>	a <sub>Zn,Exp</sub>	a <sub>Cd,Exp</sub>	a <sub>Zn,Cal</sub>	$a_{\rm Cd,Cal}$	a' <sub>Zn,Cal</sub>	a' <sub>Cd,Cal</sub>
0.1	0.305	0.914	0.304	0.916	0.310	0.913
0.2	0.477	0.849	0.466	0.853	0.472	0.851
0.3	0.585	0.794	0.564	0.801	0.576	0.799
0.4	0.66	0.745	0.633	0.754	0.653	0.749
0.5	0.717	0.696	0.688	0.704	0.716	0.697
0.6	0.765	0.643	0.737	0.646	0.768	0.638
0.7	0.809	0.578	0.788	0.571	0.814	0.570
0.8	0.857	0.484	0.845	0.461	0.860	0.479
0.9	0.917	0.323	0.913	0.290	0.916	0.327
$\pm S$			0.020	0.015	0.005	0.005
$\pm \overline{S}(\%)$			2.513	2.303	0.750	0.692

'-Redlich-Kister polynomials,  $\pm S$  =average relative error,  $\pm S(\%)$  =average standard error.





Figure 3. Comparison of the predicted activities with experimental data [18] of Cd-Zn alloy at 700 K

Table 6.	Experimental	LVE	data	for	the	Cd-Zn	binary	alloy
	system							

Exper	iment condi	Content of Zn (mole		
Temperature	Dressure		Iraci	lion)
(K)	(Pa)	Time (min)	$x_{Zn}$	$\mathcal{Y}_{Zn}$
588	7.5	90	0.3024	0.0049
588	7.5	90	0.2833	0.0044
588	7.5	90	0.3745	0.0073
588	7.5	90	0.4938	0.0130
609	7.5	90	0.9289	0.1466
616	7.5	90	0.9779	0.2130
616	7.5	90	0.9755	0.2120
623	7.5	90	0.9395	0.2570
630	7.5	90	0.9265	0.3230

Standard uncertainties u are  $u(x) = \pm 0.0001 \text{mol}; u(T) = \pm 1K;$  $u(P) = \pm 1Pa.$ 

 
 Table 8. Experimental data of evaporation rates of pure metals Zn and Cd at 7.5Pa

Metal	T/K	t/min	m <sub>Initial</sub> /g	$m_{\rm Final}/g$	<i>W</i> */ cm²∙min	<i>W</i> ∕ cm²∙min
Cd	630	10	50	14.08	0.536	0.502
Zn	630	15	50	47.18	0.027	0.023

W\*-experimental value; W-calculated result.

Table 7. Experimental data and calculated results of Cd-Zn system at 7.5 Pa

T/K	S/V	t/min	WZn-Final(%)	W <sub>Cd</sub> - <sub>Final(%)</sub>	$W^*_{Zn}$	$W^*_{Cd}$	$W_{\rm Zn}$	W <sub>Cd</sub>		
590	22.10	20	55.9	44.1	2.19x10 <sup>-3</sup>	7.23x10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.97x10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.13		
600	16.76	15	56.4	43.6	3.61x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.01x10 <sup>-1</sup>	7.40x10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.60		
610	10.85	10	54.7	45.3	4.80x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.30x10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.08x10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.22		
620	8.82	8	55.5	44.5	7.59x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.76x10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.59x10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.06		
630	5.48	5	55.2	44.8	1.29x10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.75x10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.26x10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.17		

w-mass fraction; W-evaporate rates-g/(cm<sup>2</sup>·min); w<sub>Zn</sub>-Initial=46.6%; w<sub>Cd</sub>-Initial=53.4%



calculated and experimental values of evaporation rate are almost identical. The experimental results should not differ much from the calculations for  $Cd_{40}Zn_{60}$  alloy. The evaporation coefficients of Cd and Zn in the alloy were calculated which is reported in Table 9. The evaporation coefficient of cadmium is very close to 1/13.6 in the literature [19]. If  $\alpha$ =1, which means that no preferential separation is possible. Since the interaction and different thermodynamic properties of components for alloy, the evaporation coefficients should exhibit large or



Figure 4. Comparison of the predicted LVE of calculation (lines) with experimental data (Symbols) of Cd-Zn system at 7.5 Pa.





small values.

The experimental value of  $K_i^*$  can be obtained directly by  $S/V \cdot t$  and  $\ln w_i$ . Meanwhile,  $K_i$  can be calculated by Eq. (17). The values of calculated  $K_i$  and experimental  $K_i^*$  at different temperatures are shown in Table 10. Figure.6 shows the calculated and experimental results of the evaporation rate constant for one element in Cd-Zn alloy, as well as pure metal values  $K_i$ . It can be seen that there are slight differences and consistent trends between  $K_{Cd}$  and  $K_{Cd}^*$ . The ratios of experimental value and calculated data of Cd are about 1.7 times. The main reason may be that the system pressure is lower than the equilibrium vapor pressure of Cd. Since the ratios of Zn is 22 times, however, the trend of the experimental values is consistent with the calculated values.

Based on the experimental values of  $K_i$  at different temperatures, we plotted  $\ln K_i$  vs. 1/T using Eq. (25) which is shown in Fig. 7. The activation energies of the calculation and experiment for Zn and Cd in the alloy under vacuum conditions can be obtained, which is presented in Table 11. It can be observed that the calculated value for zinc is slightly higher and that

 
 Table 11. The activation energies of Zn and Cd in the Cd-Zn system under vacuum conditions

Flament	E(kJ	/mol)
Element	Exp.	Cal.
Zn	107.72	117.04
Cd	103.91	100.48
2.4x10 <sup>-3</sup> -	K <sub>Cd</sub>	•



*Figure 7. Plot of lnK<sub>i</sub>-1000/T* 



*Figure 6. Calculated K<sub>i</sub> and experimental values K<sup>\*</sup><sub>i</sub> (symbols) of components in the Cd-Zn system (dash lines), and for pure metal (lines): (a) Cd and (b) Zn* 

Table 9. Th	e evaporation	coefficients (	of	Cd and	l Zn in i	the C	d-Zn system
-------------	---------------	----------------	----	--------	-----------	-------	-------------

T/K	$\gamma_{\rm Cd}$	$\gamma_{Zn}$	P <sub>Cd</sub> */Pa	P <sub>Zn</sub> */Pa	$\alpha_{\rm Zn} = W_{\rm Zn}^* / W_{\rm Zn}$	$\alpha_{\rm Cd} = W_{\rm Cd}^* / W_{\rm Cd}$
590	1.692	1.290	11.64	0.59	0.044	0.064
600	1.680	1.286	16.65	0.88	0.049	0.063
610	1.669	1.283	23.51	1.31	0.044	0.059
620	1.659	1.280	32.83	1.92	0.048	0.058
630	1.648	1.277	45.35	2.78	0.057	0.066

**Table 10.** Summary of results for the calculated  $K_i$  (cm/s) and experimental values  $K_i^*$  (cm/s) at different temperatures

T/K	K <sub>Zn</sub>	K <sub>cd</sub>	K <sup>*</sup> <sub>Zn</sub>	K <sup>*</sup> <sub>Cd</sub>	$K^*_{\rm Zn}/K_{\rm Zn}$	$K^*_{\rm Cd}/K_{\rm Cd}$
590	1.80 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.69 x10 <sup>-4</sup>	4.39x10 <sup>-4</sup>	6.17x10 <sup>-4</sup>	24.40	1.72
600	2.68 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.61 x10 <sup>-4</sup>	5.70x10 <sup>-4</sup>	8.26x10 <sup>-4</sup>	21.28	1.64
610	3.93 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	9.20 x10 <sup>-4</sup>	9.27x10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.22x10 <sup>-3</sup>	23.56	1.73
620	5.71 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.27 x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.11x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.53x10 <sup>-3</sup>	19.49	1.58
630	8.18 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.72 x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.80x10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.44x10 <sup>-3</sup>	22.05	1.85



for cadmium is relatively close. It indicates that the method has high reliability.

#### 5. Conclusions

Experimental LVE data for Cd-Zn system in vacuum distillation were well compared with those calculated by using the Redlich-Kister polynomials and the Wilson equation. The evaporation rates of Cd and Zn were measured under vacuum conditions. The experimental data were correlated using the Langmuir equation. Evaporation rates of pure metals exceed the rates from the melt surface. The activation energies of Cd and Zn in the alloy were experimentally determined.

#### Acknowledgements

This work has been founded by the Fund of National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 51764031.

#### References

- Y.N. Dai, Vacuum Metallurgy of Nonferrous Metals, Metallurgical Industry Press, Beijing, 2009, p. 90-92.
- [2] D.K. Xia, Y.N. Dai, Nonferrous Met. Eng., 44 (1992) 52-55.
- [3] B.Y. Song, W.L. Jiang, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., 35 (2015) 1477-1482.

- [4] Y. Ogasawar, Tabaian Seyed Hadi, ISIJ Int., 38 (1998) 789-793.
- [5] L. Li, S. Tu, Steel Res. Int., 66 (1995) 188-193.
- [6] K.N. Yang, C.X. Sun, Acta Metall. Sin., 18 (1982) 411-417.
- [7] C. Zhang, W.L. Jiang, Fluid Phase Equilib., 405 (2015) 68-72.
- [8] C.B. Nan, H. Xiong, Fluid Phase Equilib., 442 (2017) 62-67.
- [9] Y. Chen, B. Yang, Fluid Phase Equilib., 490 (2019) 86-91.
- [10] S.M. Walas, Phase Equilibria in Chemical Engineering, Butterworth, Boston, 1985, p. 333-334.
- [11] T. Iida, R.I.L. Guthrie, The Physical Properties of Liquid Metals, Oxford University Press, New York, 1988, p. 91-92.
- [12] Wilson, M. Grant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86 (1964) 127-130.
- [13] Norman, Silverman, Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev., 23 (3) (1984) 586-589.
- [14] O. Redlich, A.T. Kister, Ind. Eng. Chem., 40 (1948) 345-348.
- [15] Irving Langmuir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2 (1913) 329-342.
- [16] O.Winkler, R. Bakish, Vacuum metallurgy, Elsevier Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1971, p. 63-69.
- [17] Ohno, Reiichi, Trans. Jpn. Inst. Met., 18 (2007) 232-238.
- [18] L.A. Zabdyr, Calphad 21 (1997) 349-358.
- [19] S. Jin, K. Hu, Chin. J. Rare Met., 1 (1981) 3-7.

# RAVNOTEŽA TEČNOST-PARA I BRZINA ISPARAVANJA Cd-Zn TEČNE LEGURE

W.-C. Zhao <sup>a, b</sup>, B.-Q. Xu <sup>a, b</sup>, H.-W. Yang <sup>a, b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Nacionalna inženjerska laboratorija za vakuumsku metalurgiju, Univerzitet nauke i tehnologije u Kunmingu, Kunming, NR Kina

<sup>b</sup> Fakultet za metalurško i energetsko inženjerstvo, Univerzitet nauke i tehnologije u Kunmingu, Kunming,

## NR Kina

#### Apstrakt

U ovom radu, LVE (ravnoteža tečnost-para) podaci su određeni pod pritiskom od 7.5 Pa. Pri podešavanjima upoređeno je korišćenje Redlih-Kister polinomijala sa Vilsonovom jednačinom. LVE za Cd-Zn sistem pri vakuumskoj destilaciji oblikovan je uz korišćenje dva modela. Rezultati dva modela su pouzdani, a Redlih-Kister polinomijali su bolji od Vilsonove jednačine. LVE fazni dijagram je pouzdan za predviđanje procesa vakuumske destilacije za Cd-Zn sistem. Brzina isparavanja elemenata u Cd-Zn leguri je eksperimentalno merena i proračunata Langmuirovom jednačinom. Uključeni su i eksperimentalni podaci o isparavanju čistih metala Cd i Zn. Izračunati su koeficijenti isparavanja cinka i kadmijuma u vakuumu. Razmotrene su devijacije. Poređenjem proračuna sa eksperimentalnim rezultatima može se videti da je trend konzistentan. Takođe su izračunate aktivacione energije Cd i Zn u Cd-Zn leguri pod eksperimentalnim uslovima.

Ključne reči: Cd-Zn legura; Termodinamičko modelovanje; Kinetika; Brzina isparavanja; Vakuumska destilacija

